

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 1670 NEC G226 Takanori Saeki 07/20/2001 09/910,117 08/11/2003 **EXAMINER** Norman P. Soloway HAYES, SOLOWAY, HENNESSEY, NGUYEN, LINH M GROSSMAN & HAGE, P.C. 175 Canal Street PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Manchester, NH 03101 2816

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	licant(s)	 W	
.				SAEKI, TAKANORI		
Office Action Summary		09/910,117		Art Unit		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		2816		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Linh M. Nguy	en over sheet w	i — -	ss	
- Period fo		ppears on the C	ofer ottect w	u.e een espendente same		
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 16IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioe to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, eply within the statutor do will apply and will e	however, may a ry minimum of th xpire SIX (6) MO	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.	
Status		0 luno 2003				
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19		nn_final			
2a)⊠	71110 41011011 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
-	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1,28,29 and 33-35</u> is/are pending i					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from cons	sideration.			
-	Claim(s) 33-35 is/are allowed.					
-	☑ Claim(s) 1,28,29 and 31 is/are rejected.					
-	,—					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election red	quirement.			
	ion Papers	iner				
	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 <i>March 2002</i></u> is/are		or b) obje	cted to by the Examiner.		
10) <u>⊠</u>	Applicant may not request that any objection to	ر accepted the drawing(s) b	e held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
111	The proposed drawing correction filed on			disapproved by the Examiner.		
''/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			Application No		
*	 Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a))).	age	
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority un	der 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).	
,	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional app	olication has	been received.		
Attachme						
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No((s) <u>12&13</u> .	4) Interviews Notice 6) Other:	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/910,117

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

This is a reply to the Applicant's response submitted on 06/19/2003. In this amendment, claims 1, 28-29, 31, and 33-35 are presented in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takemae et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,194,932).

With respect to claims 1 and 28, Takemae et al. discloses, in Figures 2 and 3, a clock control circuit and a corresponding control method comprising means for generating and outputting an output clock having a phase relative to a reference clock [CLK0] by adding or subtracting to or from the phase by a predetermined unit value of a phase differential on each clock cycle of the reference clock, which is an input clock or a clock derived from the input clock.

With respect to claim 31, Takemar et al. discloses, in Figures 2 and 3, that the unit phase difference is variably set by a control signal [N9].

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 09/910,117

Art Unit: 2816

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 3. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takemae et al. 4. (U.S. Patent No. 6,194,932) in view of Tanis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,258,724).

With respect to claim 29, Takemae et al. discloses all of the claimed limitations, as expressly recited in claim 28, except for specifying that the output clock of a frequency corresponding to a non-integer frequency with respect to the frequency of the reference clock can be outputted. Tanis et al. discloses, in figure 2, a fractional division synthesizer comprising a fractional (or non-integer) divider, which is capable of outputting the output clock of a frequency corresponding to a non-integer frequency with respect to the frequency of the reference clock. To implement a fractional divider fed with the input (reference) clock or the output clock of the circuit Takemae et al. to obtain high frequency resolution would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention since such a configuration would provide finer resolution than integer dividers, which has been a well-known practice in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Tanis et al..

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 33-35 are allowed. 5.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: 6.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,117

Art Unit: 2816

Prior art of record does not show or fairly suggest (1) a clock control method comprising (a) phase-adjusting the output clock by an interpolator for outputting a signal whose propagation delay corresponds to division of timing difference of two clock signals to vary ratio of internal division of timing difference of the interpolator to enable outputting of an output clock of a non-integer frequency of the input clock frequency, as called for in claim 33, and (2) a circuit that (a) receives an input clock, and (b) generates an output clock with a phase relative to a reference clock being changed on each cycle of the output clock, wherein (b1) the reference clock is the input clock or a clock derived from the input clock, (b2) a phase of the output clock relative to the reference clock for another cycle next to one cycle is produced by adding to or subtracting the phase of the output clock corresponding the cycle a unit phase differential value $\Delta \phi$, (b3) the $\Delta \phi$ is a predetermined value such that $n\Delta \phi$ is equal to one clock period (tCK) of the reference clock while n is an positive integer, and (b4) a frequency of the output clock is $1/(tCK + \Delta \phi)$, as called for in claims 34 and 35.

Remarks and Conclusion

7. Applicant's arguments filed 06/19/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the Applicant's argument on claim s 1, 28 and 31, at page 1, the Examiner disagree with the Applicant's statement of "Claims 1, 28, and 31 require that the phase be adjusted by a predetermined unit value of a phase differential on each clock cycle of the referenced clock. Takemae et al does not teach this feature ..., in the instant claimed invention, as shown, e.g., in Fig. 2, each cycle of the clock is shifted by a predetermined amount causing an increase in the phase shift after each cycle as can be shown by the exemplary shift

Application/Control Number: 09/910,117

Art Unit: 2816

 $\Delta\Phi$, $2\Delta\Phi$, and $3\Delta\Phi$.". First, the Examiner disagrees with the part stating that "Claims 1, 28, and 31 require that the phase be adjusted by a predetermined unit value of a phase differential on each clock cycle of the referenced clock. Takemae et al does not teach this feature"; as clearly shown in Figs. 2 and 3 of Takemae, each clock cycle of the reference clock [CLK0] is adjusted by a predetermined unit value of a phase differential as each clock cycle is shifted and resulted in the output clock. Second, the Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's statement that the reference fails to show certain features of applicant's invention, "each cycle of the clock is shifted by a predetermined amount causing an increase in the phase shift after each cycle as can be shown by the exemplary shift $\Delta\Phi$, $2\Delta\Phi$, and $3\Delta\Phi$.", it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Hence, claims 1, 28 and 31 remain anticipatorily rejected by Takemae.

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/910,117 Page 6

Art Unit: 2816

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0414.

The examiner can normally be reached on Alternate Mon, Tuesday - Friday from 7:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on (703) 308-4876. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Linh M. Nguyen

// TIMOTHY P. CALLAHAN UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800